

Council name	COTSWOLD DISTRICT COUNCIL
Name and date of Committee	OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE - 23 FEBRUARY 2021
Report Number	AGENDA ITEM 10
Subject	PLANNING ENFORCEMENT UPDATE
Wards affected	ALL
Accountable Member	Cllr. Clive Webster: Cabinet Member for Planning, Town and Parish Councils
Accountable officer	Deborah Smith, Development & Planning Enforcement Manager Tel: 01285 623000 Email: deborah.smith@publicagroup.uk
Summary/Purpose	Update on the performance of the Planning Enforcement Team in 2020.
Annexes	None
Recommendation/s	To note the report
Corporate priorities	Ensure that all services delivered by the Council are delivered to the highest standard
Key Decision	NO
Exempt	NO
Consultees/	
Consultation	

I. BACKGROUND

Following a review of the Planning Enforcement Service in 2017, updates on staffing and performance are provided to the Overview & Scrutiny Committee on an annual basis.

2. MAIN POINTS

- 2.1. Staffing
- 2.1.1. The Team structure:
 - Senior Enforcement Officer: Lisa Evans (full-time)
 - Senior Enforcement Officer: Kevan Hooper (4 days)
 - Case Officer, Enforcement: Ed Leeson (full-time)
 - Assistant Enforcement Officer: Alison Roberts (full-time)
- 2.1.2. The Assistant Enforcement Officer post was formerly a fixed term post, but has, in the last few weeks, been established as a permanent post within the organisation.
- 2.2. Performance
- 2.2.1 Data for 2020:
 - New cases received: 420 (compared to 424 in 2019)
 - Cases closed: 262 (compared to 308 in 2019)
 - Current live cases: 487
- 2.2.2 Notwithstanding the impacts of the Covid-19 pandemic, specifically, the need to work from home and the difficulties in conducting site visits during lockdown, the team has continued to perform at a high, albeit a slightly reduced, level during 2020.
- 2.2.1. <u>Enforcement Service re-design</u>
- 2.2.2. The Planning Enforcement function at Cotswold District Council (CDC) is one of the three review projects within the wider Development Management Service that is scheduled to take place this year, which will review working practices and consider the introduction of a proactive approach to Enforcement (subject to resource requirements).
- 2.2.3. A pro-active approach to Enforcement may act as a deterrent to those who might consider breaching planning regulations; may result in additional income through the submission of retrospective applications to regularise any breaches; and would ensure the quality of the built and natural environment would be retained.

3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3.1. None

4. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1. None

5. RISK ASSESSMENT

5.1. None.

6.	EQUALITIES IMPACT (IF REQUIRED)
6.1.	N/A
7.	CLIMATE CHANGE IMPLICATIONS (IF REQUIRED)
7.1.	N/A
8.	ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS
8.1.	None.
9.	BACKGROUND PAPERS
9.1.	None.
	(END)